

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,431	GUBA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Samuel H. Megerditchian {Reg. No. 45,678}

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007

Time: 9:15am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) add an "or" before the last substituent in claim 1, under the definition of R(5); (2) delete the proviso at the end of claim 1; and (3) delete "prophylaxis" in claim 14

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)